Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/634,615	ROCHELLE, GARY P.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jermie Cozart	3726
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John Denkenberger</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 January 2006	Time: <u>10:45AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: McIlroy (3,908,208)		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
During a telephone conversation with John Denkenberger, M amendment in order to overcome the art of record and to place		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all income. A brief separation of all income. 	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumr	nary by the examiner appear	s in Part II above.
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)